WHAT THEY NEVER TOLD YOU ABOUT INNOVATION

STEVE VINOSKI ARCHITECT, BASHO TECHNOLOGIES GOTO AMSTERDAM 13 OCTOBER 2011 @STEVEVINOSKI HTTP://STEVE.VINOSKI.NET/

CAREER INSIGHTS

INTEGRATED CIRCUIT TESTING

ENGINEERING WORKSTATION BOOT ROMS AND DIAGNOSTICS

DISTRIBUTED OBJECT COMPUTING

MIDDLEWARE AND ENTERPRISE INTEGRATION

VIDEO DELIVERY FOR CABLE AND INTERNET

DISTRIBUTED DATABASE

FAILURES

LATE 1980S: HP ACQUIRED APOLLO COMPUTER

EARLY 1990s: SEVERAL UNSUCCESSFUL CORBA PROJECTS

LATE 1990S: NEXT-GENERATION ORBIX DELAYS

AROUND 2000: M&A FAILURES

MID 2000S: FAILURE TO ADOPT NEW TECHNOLOGIES

LATE 2000S: MISSED MARKET

WHY ALL THESE FAILURES?

APOLLO: MARKETING FAILURE

EARLY CORBA FAILURES: COMPANY POLITICS

NEXT-GEN ORBIX DELAYS: MIGHT HURT SALES

M&A FAILURES: CULTURAL ISSUES

BUT WHAT WERE THE REAL REASONS FOR THESE FAILURES?

2004, NEW ROLE: INNOVATION

TECHNOLOGY Adoption


































THE INNOVATOR'S DILEMMA

1. TECHNOLOGY GAINS TRACTION WITH CUSTOMERS

2. SUSTAINING INNOVATIONS MEET CUSTOMER DEMANDS

3. NEW BUT INFERIOR TECHNOLOGY INITIALLY TARGETS A DIFFERENT SEGMENT

4. INCUMBENTS IGNORE THE INFERIOR TECHNOLOGY

5. INFERIOR TECHNOLOGY MOVES UP-MARKET VIA SUSTAINING INNOVATIONS

6. NEW TECHNOLOGY, NO LONGER INFERIOR, DISRUPTS THE INCUMBENT'S MARKET

7. INCUMBENT TRIES TO REACT, BUT IT'S TOO LATE

THE INNOVATOR'S DILEMMA

SO, WHAT WERE THE <u>REAL</u> REASONS FOR THOSE FAILURES?

APOLLO: MARKETING FAILURE

APOLLO: MARKETING FAILURE

SUN DISRUPTED APOLLO

EARLY CORBA FAILURES: COMPANY POLITICS

EARLY CORBA FAILURES: COMMANY POLITICS

UNWILLING TO ENGAGE IN A NEW MARKET

"AS SOON AS MANAGEMENT MENTALITY OVERWHELMS LEADERSHIP MENTALITY, **REGARDLESS OF COMPANY** SIZE, THE PULL OF THE PAST BEGINS."

-TWEETED BY GEOFFREY MOORE (10 OCT 2011)

TECHNOLOGY ADOPTION LIFE CYCLE



TECHNOLOGY ADOPTION LIFE CYCLE



NEXT-GEN ORBIX DELAYS: MIGHT HURT SALES

NEXT-GEN CEB X DELAYS: MIGHT HURT SALES

INABILITY TO ADDRESS DIFFERENT TYPES OF ADOPTERS

M&A FAILURES: CULTURAL ISSUES

M&A FAILURES: CULTURAL ISSUES

ASSUMING SAME CUSTOMERS FOR ALL PRODUCTS

MID 2000S: FAILURE TO ADOPT NEW TECHNOLOGIES

MID 2000S: FAILURE TO ADOPT NEV TECHNOLOGIES

EXISTING MARKETS TOO LUCRATIVE

LATE 2000S: MISSED MARKET

LATE 2000S: MISSED MARKET

INTERNET VIDEO DISRUPTION

TECHNOLOGY ADOPTION LIFE CYCLE


MORE EXAMPLES

PUBLISHED MAY 2011: ORACLE WHITEPAPER "DEBUNKING THE NOSQL HYPE"

OCTOBER 2011: ORACLE ANNOUNCES NEW NOSQL PRODUCT

TRYING TO FEND OFF DISRUPTION?

LOSING LOW-END DEALS TO NOSQL PRODUCTS?

GOOGLE DART

PANNED BY MANY DEVELOPERS — MISSING FEATURES, TOO MUCH LIKE JAVA, ETC.

INFERIOR TO WHAT WE ALREADY USE

EXACTLY AS ALL DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGIES BEGIN

LEVELS OF INNOVATION ADOPTION READINESS



WHICH TYPE IS YOUR CEO?

WHICH TYPE IS YOUR MANAGER?

WHAT TYPES ARE YOUR TEAMMATES?

WHICH TYPE ARE YOU?

WHAT CUSTOMER TYPE DOES YOUR PRODUCT CURRENTLY TARGET?

TWO IMPORTANT LESSONS

KNOW WHERE YOUR TECHNOLOGY SITS ON THE LIFE CYCLE CURVE

KNOW WHERE YOUR PEOPLE SIT ON THE LIFE CYCLE CURVE

BE A BETTER JUDGE OF ANY PROJECT ANY PRODUCT ANY TEAM ANY OPPORTUNITY















IT'S BEER O'CLOCK