



Seventeen Things We Did To Scale Our System

Bjorn Freeman-Benson New Relic @bjorn_fb









Customer's datacenter

Our growth

In 5 years, zero to 40,000 accounts...
... largest account has 17,000 servers
... 108 x 10⁹ metrics per day (75 x 10⁶ per minute)
... 8Tb of data a day (5.5Gb per minute)





Lean Startup

 As a start-up: first prove that we had something, then scale





Lean Startup

 As a start-up: first prove that we had something, then scale, but plan to scale



Our First System

PaaS at Engine Yard

- 8 physical machines with multiple VMs
- Everything in Ruby
- Homegrown load balancer
- Separate processes for each activity
- Perfect system for the "Search for Business Model"



System Characteristics

1. Every app instance of every customer sends us data every minute

- 2. Only a subset of customers view the data on any given minute
- 3. Data has a steep half-life: most interesting data is seconds old



4. Accuracy is essential











 Reduce the number of connections to the servers

• F5 buffers requests and handles SSL





#2: Bare metal

• VMs didn't work well for us

I/O latency problems

New Relic

I/O bandwidth jitter

 Ruby is very memory heavy and VMs don't handle memory mapping as well as native CPUs



#3: Direct Attached Storage

MySQL depends on really fast write commits

 Thus we need the disk cache as close to the cpu as possible





#4: No App Servers

 Our high throughput collector processes don't need app servers so they are native Java apps with an embedded Jetty

Beacon	0.144 ms 2.16M rpm 0.0023 %
Aggregator	3.37 ms 1.39M rpm 0.0494 %
	http://bit.ly/QrOExM



 Every worker shares the socket so there's no need for a dispatcher

New Relic

 Also easy to live-deploy new code helps with our Continuous Deployment









0

6'6"

5'6"

The Usual Suspects (4)

#6: Agent Protocol

New Relic

 Our first agent protocol was quick and dirty: Ruby object serialization and multiple round trips

```
def marshal_data(data)
   NewRelic::LanguageSupport.with_cautious_gc do
    Marshal.dump(data)
   end
rescue => e
   log.debug("#{e.class.name} : #{e.message} when marshalling #{object}")
   raise
end
```

 Refined: reduce round-trips (package more data into the payload); keep-alive



If a service is temporarily unavailable, accumulate and retry

recover_from_communication_error:

* We were unable to contact the collectors, so we need to add all of this data to * time unit's pending data.

nr_log (NRL_DEBUG, "[%s] recovering from communication error..", appname); nr_close_connection_to_daemon (nrdaemon);

nrthread_mutex_lock (&app->lock); {

/* merge metrics sets the ->replacement pointers of every metric in both from and nr_metric_table__merge_metrics_from_to (data->metrics, app->pending_harvest->metr nr__merge_slow_transactions_from_to (&(data->slow_transactions), &(app->pending_h nr__merge_errors_from_to (&(data->errors), &(app->pending_harvest->errors));

#8: Large Accounts

Our first customers were small.
 Later larger customers stretched our assumptions. We added smart sorting, searching, paging, etc.

New Relic

Filter by app

search host names

△ There are too many servers for us to display at once. We're only showing the top 200 of your 3157 servers, Go to a list of all of your servers →

#9: ORM Issues

 ORMs (Rails) are nice but can quickly load too many objects. Do a careful audit of slow code.

New Relic

Slow transactions →		Resp. Time	
ChartData::MetricChartsController#app_breakd 12:16 - 30 minutes ago	435	ms	
Api::V1::DataController#multi_app_data 12:16 — 30 minutes ago	2,230	ms	
ApplicationsController#index 12:16 — 30 minutes ago	527	ms	
Api::V1::DataController#multi_app_data 12:16 — 30 minutes ago	1,343	ms	
ApplicationsController#index 12:16 — 30 minutes ago	1,272	ms	

Show all slow transactions →

@bjorn_fb

@bjorn_fb

#10: Pre-compute

Pre-compute expensive queries

#12: Different DBs

Different data has different characteristics

 Account data is classic relational
 Timeslice data is write-once

 Use different database instances for each kind of data
 Different tuning parameters (buffer pools, etc)

• Similar to buddy memory allocation

#13: Non-gc gc

Problem: Deleting rows is expensive (due to table-level locking) Solution: Don't delete rows Schema has multiple tables (one per account per time period) Use DROP TABLE for gc Similar to the 100-request restart at amazon.com/obidos in 1999

#14: Computation in DB

@bjorn fb

 Natural sharding allows us to push computation into the db

Supported by schema

- Limits number of rows returned
- Thus allows scripting language (Ruby) to do 'real' work
- Opposite of the classical advice of doing nothing in the db
 http://bit.ly/PFppZh

Choose sequential reads because of UI
 Use buffers to help random writes, but...

Switched to SSDs

- writes are same or slightly slower
- reads are fast, random or sequential
- write limits not a problem due to non-rewrite nature of our data tables

@bjorn_fb

#16: Moving Processes

 Different processes have different performance characteristics: cpu, memory, i/o, time of day, etc.

- Allocate processes to machines to balance the resource requirements
 - Instead of "all type X processes on M1 and Ys on M2" we balance the machines

#17: Moving Customers

 Customers have different data characteristics: size, access patterns, ...

 Allocate customers to shards to balance the size and loads on the shards

New Relic

 Required an early architectural decision to allow data split between shards

@bjorn_fb

- **1.** Do the basics
- 2. Design in some scalability
- 3. Use the unique characteristics of your app to optimize

4. Buzzwords not needed

@bjorn_fb

