

DISBAND THE DEPLOYMENT ARMY

Michael T. Nygard
Relevance, Inc.


www.thinkrelevance.com

michael.nygard@thinkrelevance.com [@mtnygard](#)

Deployment Army


Army Deployments

(Hint: It's An Antipattern)



Financial Success

We want the benefits of agile development and continuous integration all the way to production.





We want the benefits of agile development and continuous integration all the way to production.

**Financial
Success**

We must avoid financial losses due to downtime, bugs, noncompliance, and loss of reputation.

We must avoid financial losses due to downtime, bugs, noncompliance, and loss of reputation.





One goal.
Two conflicting demands.

Understanding Risk

Understanding Risk

Expected losses from
undesirable events.

Exposure

Annual Loss Expectancy (ALE)

$$\text{Loss} = N_{\text{events}} \times P_{\text{error}} \times C_{\text{event}}$$

Exposure Example: Bug In Checkout

P_{error}	Occurance	I time in 10^8
N_{events}	Checkouts / Year	5.25×10^8
C_{event}	Average Lost Order	€25
Loss	Total losses per annum	€131.40

Categories Of Risk

Categories Of Risk

1. Compliance Risk

2. Technical Risk

Categories Of Risk

1. Compliance Risk

2. Technical Risk

Compliance Risk

Certification

Regulatory approval

Third party testing

Commonly seen in:

Banking / finance

Health care

Aviation

Consumer Electronics

Managing Compliance Risk

Deliver continuously to certification environment.

Rapidly detect noncompliant changes

Reduce time between validation cycles

Categories Of Risk

I. Compliance Risk

2. Technical Risk

Managing Technical Risk

Managing Technical Risk

$$\text{Loss} = N_{\text{events}} \times P_{\text{error}} \times C_{\text{event}}$$

N_{events} will increase.

We can decrease P_{error} and C_{event} .

Reducing Risk Exposure

	Batch	Continuous
N_{events}	Low	High
P_{error}	High	?
C_{event}	High	?


Sources Of P_{error}

Defects in code


Errors in assembly or packaging

Errors executing changes


CFD (Batched)




CFD (Continuous)




Build Pipelines



Build Pipelines



Build Pipelines



Reducing Build & Assembly Errors

Fast tests

Clean build servers from VCS

Clean build applications from VCS

Promote binaries, not sources

Deploy the same way everywhere

Essential Practices


- Fast tests
- Never commit new work on a broken build
- Fail the build on slow tests
- Fail the build on violations: architecture, coding standards
- Involve Ops in creating deployment scripts
- Deploy from head of trunk

Environment Requirements

- Package repository
- Tag the repo
- Logging
- Metrics


Making Deployments Safe

Canary Releasing




Making Deployments Safe

Blue/Green Deployments



Making Deployments Safe

Blue/Green Deployments



Making Deployments Safe

All of these *require* zero downtime deployments.

Zero Downtime Deployments

- Database migrations
- Schema shims
- Versioned identifiers for assets
- Protocol versioning
- Endpoint versioning
- Decoupled architecture

Reducing Risk Exposure


	Batch	Continuous
N_{events}	Low	High
P_{error}	High	Low
C_{event}	High	?

Minimizing C_{event}


1. **Reduce time to detect (MTTD)**
2. Reduce time to correct (MTTR)
3. Reduce scope of impact

These are all things that batched deployment does badly.

Reduce Mean Time To Detection




Cult Of Charts




Mike Brittain, "Tracking Every Release"
<http://codeascraft.etsy.com/2010/12/08/track-every-release/>

Cult Of Charts



Ian Mapless, "Measure Anything, Measure Everything"
<http://codeascraft.etsy.com/2011/02/15/measure-anything-measure-everything/>

Charting Everything



Minimizing C_{event}

1. Reduce time to detect (MTTD)
- 2. Reduce time to correct (MTTR)**
3. Reduce scope of impact

Factors In MTTR

1. Determine the problem
2. Fix the problem
3. Deploy the fix

Minimizing C_{event}

1. Reduce time to detect (MTTD)
2. Reduce time to correct (MTTR)
- 3. Reduce scope of impact**

Scope Of Impact

How many users are exposed to the error?

Split testing

Dark launch

Feature flags

Remote control


Scope Of Impact

How far can the error propagate in the system?


Decoupled architecture

Bulkheads



Circuit breakers




Doomed.



Better.



Good!



Reducing Risk Exposure

	Batch	Continuous
N_{events}	Low	High
P_{error}	High	Low
C_{event}	High	Low

Unsolved Problems

Unsolved Problems

- Managing library dependencies.
- Managing service & protocol dependencies.
- Interfacing with ITIL processes.

Questions To Think About

Questions To Think About

If you could rebuild whole environments over a coffee break, how would your processes change?

Questions To Think About

What's the smallest incremental change you could make toward CD?

Questions To Think About

What could you automate that you're doing manually today?

Questions To Think About

What monitors could add to improve
your visibility?

Questions To Think About

What could you do to remove organizational barriers that prevent you from doing CD today?

DISBAND THE DEPLOYMENT ARMY

Michael T. Nygard
Relevance, Inc.

www.thinkrelevance.com

michael.nygard@thinkrelevance.com [@mtnygard](#)

© 2012 Michael T. Nygard, All Rights Reserved.

