

JavaScript: The Good Parts

Douglas Crockford

Yahoo! Inc.

<http://www.crockford.com/codecamp/>

The World's Most Misunderstood Programming Language

A language of many contrasts.

The broadest range of
programmer skills of any
programming language.

From computer scientists
to cut-n-pasters
and everyone in between.

Complaints

- "JavaScript is not a language I know."
- "The browser programming experience is awful."
- "It's not fast enough."
- "The language is just a pile of mistakes."

Hidden under a huge steaming
pile of good intentions and
blunders is an elegant,
expressive programming
language.

JavaScript has good parts.

JavaScript is succeeding very well in an environment where Java was a total failure.

Influences

- Self

prototypal inheritance

dynamic objects

- Scheme

lambda

loose typing

- Java

syntax

conventions

- Perl

regular expressions

Bad Parts

- Global Variables
- + adds and concatenates
- Semicolon insertion
- typeof
- with and eval
- phony arrays
- == and !=
- false, null, undefined, NaN

Transitivity? What's That?

- `' ' == '0'` // false
- `0 == ''` // true
- `0 == '0'` // true
- `false == 'false'` // false
- `false == '0'` // true
- `false == undefined` // false
- `false == null` // false
- `null == undefined` // true
- `" \t\r\n " == 0` // true

```
value = myObject[name];  
if (value == null) {  
    alert(name + ' not found.');
```

```
}
```

Two errors that cancel each other out.

```
value = myObject[name];  
if (value === undefined) {  
    alert(name + ' not found.');
```

```
}
```

Good features that interact badly

- Objects can inherit from other objects.
- Functions can be members of objects.
- for..in statement mixes inherited functions with the desired data members.

for in is troublesome

- Design question: Should for..in do a shallow skim or a deep dredge?
- Decision: Deep dredge. The programmer must explicitly filter out the deep members.
- Except: They didn't tell anybody!
- Consequence: Lots of confusion about how to use for..in.

for in is troublesome

- Better Decision: Don't release the language broadly until we have enough experience to have confidence that we made the right choice.
- Historical Context: Getting it right at Netscape wasn't an option.

Bad Heritage

- Blockless statements

```
if (foo)
    bar();
```

- Expression statements

```
foo;
```

- Floating point arithmetic

```
0.1 + 0.2 !== 0.3
```

- ++ and --
- switch

Good Parts

- Lambda
- Dynamic Objects
- Loose Typing
- Object Literals

Inheritance

- Inheritance is object-oriented code reuse.
- Two Schools:
 - Classical
 - Prototypal

Prototypal Inheritance

- Class-free.
- Objects inherit from objects.
- An object contains a link to another object: Delegation. Differential Inheritance.

```
var newObject =  
    Object.create(oldObject);
```



Prototypal Inheritance

```
if (typeof Object.create !== 'function') {  
  Object.create = function (o) {  
    function F() {}  
    F.prototype = o;  
    return new F();  
  };  
}
```

new

- The **new** operator is required when calling a Constructor function.
- If **new** is omitted, the global object is clobbered by the constructor.
- There is no compile-time or run-time warning.

A Module Pattern

```
var singleton = (function () {  
    var privateVariable;  
    function privateFunction(x) {  
        ...privateVariable...  
    }  
    return {  
        firstMethod: function (a, b) {  
            ...privateVariable...  
        },  
        secondMethod: function (c) {  
            ...privateFunction()...  
        }  
    };  
})();
```

Module pattern is easily transformed into a powerful constructor pattern.

Closure

- A function object contains
 - A function (name, parameters, body)
 - A reference to the environment in which it was created (context).
- This is a very good thing.

Style Isn't Subjective

```
block
```

```
{
```

```
    . . .
```

```
}
```

- Might work well in other languages

```
block {
```

```
    . . .
```

```
}
```

- Works well in JavaScript

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return
```

```
{
```

```
    ok: false
```

```
};
```

```
return {
```

```
    ok: true
```

```
};
```

- SILENT ERROR!

- Works well in JavaScript

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return  
{  
    ok: false  
};
```

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return; // semicolon insertion
{
    ok: false
};
```

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return;  
  
{ // block  
    ok: false  
};
```

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return;  
  
{  
  
    ok: false // label  
  
};
```

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return;  
  
{ // useless  
    ok: false // expression  
}; // statement
```

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return;  
  
{  
  
    ok: false; // semicolon  
  
}; // insertion
```

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return;  
  
{  
  
    ok: false;  
  
}; // empty statement
```

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return;  
  
{ // unreachable statement  
    ok: false;  
}
```

Style Isn't Subjective

```
return
```

```
{
```

```
    ok: false
```

```
};
```

- Bad style

```
return;
```

```
{
```

```
    ok: false;
```

```
}
```

- Bad results

Working with the Grain

A Personal Journey

Beautiful Code

JSLint

- JSLint defines a professional subset of JavaScript.
- It imposes a programming discipline that makes me much more confident in a dynamic, loosely-typed environment.
- <http://www.JSLint.com/>

WARNING!

JSLint will hurt your
feelings.

Unlearning Is
Really Hard

Perfectly Fine == Faulty

It's not ignorance does so much
damage; it's knowin' so derved
much that ain't so.

Josh Billings

The Very Best Part:
Stability

No new design errors
since 1999!

Coming Soon

- [ES3.1] ECMAScript Fifth Edition
- Corrections
- Reality
- Support for object hardening
- Strict mode for reliability
- Waiting on implementations

Not Coming Soon

- [ES4] This project has been cancelled.
- Instead, [ES-Harmony].
- So far, this project has no defined goals or rules.

Safe Subsets

- The most effective way to make this language better is to make it smaller.
- FBJS
- Caja & Cajita
- ADsafe
- These subsets will be informing the design of a new secure language to replace JavaScript.

The Good Parts

- Your JavaScript application can reach a potential audience of billions.
- If you avoid the bad parts, JavaScript works really well. There is some brilliance in it.
- It is possible to write good programs with JavaScript.

Unearthing the excellence in JavaScript



JavaScript: The Good Parts

O'REILLY®

| YAHOO! PRESS

Douglas Crockford