Good Morning!

- This is not really a keynote
- There will be code – lots of it
- But first, a bit of eye candy
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What’s New in the Second Edition?

• Chapter 5: Generics
• Chapter 6: Enums and Annotations
• One or more items on all other Java 5 language features
• Threads chapter renamed Concurrency
  — Completely rewritten for java.util.concurrent
• All existing items updated to reflect current best practices
• A few items added to reflect newly important patterns
• First edition had 57 items; second has 78
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Item 28: Wildcards for API Flexibility

• Unlike arrays, generic types are *invariant*
  – That is, `List<String>` is *not* a subtype of `List<Object>`
  – Good for compile-time type safety, but inflexible

• *Wildcard types* provide additional API flexibility
  – `List<String>` *is* a subtype of `List<? extends Object>`
  – `List<Object>` *is* a subtype of `List<? super String>`
A Mnemonic for Wildcard Usage

• **PECS**—**P**roducer extends, **C**onsumer super
  - For a T producer, use `Foo<? extends T>`
  - For a T consumer, use `Foo<? super T>`

• Only applies to input parameters
  - Don’t use wildcard types as return types

Guess who?
Flex your PECS (1)

• Suppose you want to add bulk methods to \texttt{Stack<E>}
  
  \begin{verbatim}
  void pushAll(Collection<E> src);
  void popAll(Collection<E> dst);
  \end{verbatim}
Flex your PECS (1)

- Suppose you want to add bulk methods to `Stack<E>`
  
  ```java
  void pushAll(Collection<? extends E> src);
  - src is an E producer

  void popAll(Collection<E> dst);
  ```
Flex your PECS (1)

• Suppose you want to add bulk methods to `Stack<E>`
  
  ```java
  void pushAll(Collection<? extends E> src);
  ─ src is an E producer
  void popAll(Collection<? super E> dst);
  ─ dst is an E consumer
  ```
Flex your PECS (1)

*What does it buy you?*

```java
void pushAll(Collection<? extends E> src);
void popAll(Collection<? super E> dst);
```

- Caller can now `pushAll` from a `Collection<Long>`
  or a `Collection<Number>` onto a `Stack<Number>`
- Caller can now `popAll` into a `Collection<Object>`
  or a `Collection<Number>` from a `Stack<Number>`
Flex your PECS (2)

• Consider this generic method:

    public static <E> Set<E> union(Set<E> s1, Set<E> s2)
Flex your PECS (2)

• Consider this generic method

```java
public static <E> Set<E> union(Set<? extends E> s1,
                               Set<? extends E> s2)
```

• Both s1 and s2 are E producers

• No wildcard type for return value
  – Wouldn’t make the API any more flexible
  – Would force user to deal with wildcard types explicitly
  – User should not have to think about wildcards to use your API
Flex your PECS (2)

Truth In Advertising – It Doesn’t Always “Just Work”

• This code won’t compile 😞

  Set<Integer> ints = ... ;
  Set<Double> doubles = ... ;
  Set<Number> numbers = union(ints, doubles);

• The compiler says

  Union.java:14: incompatible types
  found : Set<Number & Comparable<? extends Number & Comparable<?>>>
  required: Set<Number>
  Set<Number> numbers = union(ints, doubles);
  ^

• The fix – provide an explicit type parameter

  Set<Number> nums = Union.<Number>union(ints, doubles);
## Summary, in Tabular Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Consumes T Instances?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foo&lt;? super T&gt; (Contravariant in T)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Foo&lt;? extends T&gt; (Covariant in T)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Filling in The Blanks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Consumes T Instances?</th>
<th>Parameter Produces T Instances?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Foo&lt;T&gt; (Invariant in T)</td>
<td>Foo&lt;? super T&gt; (Contravariant in T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Foo&lt;? extends T&gt; (Covariant in T)</td>
<td>Foo&lt;?&gt; (Independent of T)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 29: How to Write A Container With an Arbitrary Number of Type Parameters

• Typically, containers are parameterized
  – For example: `Set<E>`, `Map<K, V>`
  – Limits you to a fixed number of type parameters

• Sometimes you need more flexibility
  – Consider a `DatabaseRow` class
  – You need one type parameter for each column
  – Number of columns varies from instance to instance
The Solution: Typesafe Heterogeneous Container Pattern

- Parameterize selector instead of container
  - For `DatabaseRow`, `DatabaseColumn` is selector
- Present selector to container to get data
- Data is strongly typed at compile time
- Allows for unlimited type parameters
Example: A Favorites Database

API and Client

```java
// Typesafe heterogeneous container pattern - API
public class Favorites {
    public <T> void putFavorite(Class<T> type, T instance);  
    public <T> T getFavorite(Class<T> type);
}

// Typesafe heterogeneous container pattern - client
public static void main(String[] args) {
    Favorites f = new Favorites();
    f.setFavorite(String.class, "Java");
    f.setFavorite(Integer.class, 0xcafebabe);
    f.putFavorite(Class.class, ThreadLocal.class);

    String s = f.getFavorite(String.class);
    int i = f.getFavorite(Integer.class);
    Class<?> favoriteClass = f.getFavorite(Class.class);
    System.out.println("printf("%s %x %s\n",
        favoriteString, favoriteInteger, favoriteClass);
}
```
Example: A Favorites Database

*Implementation*

```java
public class Favorites {
    private Map<Class<?>, Object> favorites =
        new HashMap<Class<?>, Object>();

    public <T> void putFavorite(Class<T> type, T instance) {
        if (type == null)
            throw new NullPointerException("Type is null");
        favorites.put(type, instance);
    }

    public <T> T getFavorite(Class<T> type) {
        return type.cast(favorites.get(type));
    }
}
```
Agenda

- Generics  Items 28, 29
- Enum types  Item 40
- Varargs  Item 42
- Concurrency  Item 69
- Serialization  Item 78
Item 40: Prefer 2-element enums to booleans

• Which would you rather see in code, this:
  
  ```java
dauble temp = thermometer.getTemp(true);
```

• or this:

  ```java
dauble temp = thermometer.getTemp(TemperatureScale.FAHRENHEIT);
```

• With static import, you can even have this:

  ```java
dauble temp = thermometer.getTemp(FAHRENHEIT);
```
Advantages of 2-Element enums Over booleans

• Code is easier to read
• Code is easier to write (especially with IDE)
• Less need to consult documentation
• Smaller probability of error
• Much better for API evolution
Evolution of a 2-Element enum

- Version 1
  ```java
  public enum TemperatureScale { FAHRENHEIT, CELSIUS }
  ```

- Version 2
  ```java
  public enum TemperatureScale { FAHRENHEIT, CELSIUS, KELVIN }
  ```

- Version 3
  ```java
  public enum TemperatureScale {
      FAHRENHEIT, CELSIUS, KELVIN;
      public abstract double toCelsius(double temp);
      ...
  }
  ```
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Item 42: Two Useful Idioms for Varargs

// Simple use of varargs
static int sum(int... args) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (int arg : args)
        sum += arg;
    return sum;
}

Suppose You Want to Require at Least One Argument

// The WRONG way to require one or more arguments!
static int min(int... args) {
    if (args.length == 0)
        throw new IllegalArgumentException(
          "Too few arguments");
    int min = args[0];
    for (int i = 1; i < args.length; i++)
        if (args[i] < min)
            min = args[i];
    return min;
}

Fails at runtime if invoked with no arguments
It's ugly – explicit validity check on number of args
Interacts poorly with for-each loop
The Right Way

```java
static int min(int firstArg, int... remainingArgs) {
    int min = firstArg;
    for (int arg : remainingArgs)
        if (arg < min)
            min = arg;
    return min;
}
```

Won’t compile if you try to invoke with no arguments
No validity check necessary
Works great with for-each loop
Varargs when Performance is Critical

// These static factories are real
Class EnumSet<E extends Enum<E>> {  
    static <E> EnumSet<E> of(E e);  
    static <E> EnumSet<E> of(E e1, E e2)  
    static <E> EnumSet<E> of(E e1, E e2, E e3)  
    static <E> EnumSet<E> of(E e1, E e2, E e3, E e4)  
    static <E> EnumSet<E> of(E e1, E e2, E e3, E e4, E e5);  
    static <E> EnumSet<E> of(E first, E... rest)  
    ... // Remainder omitted

}  

Avoids cost of array allocation if fewer that $n$ args
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Item 69: Use ConcurrentHashMap

*But Use it Right!*

- Concurrent collections manage synchronization internally
  - Lock striping, non-blocking algorithms, etc.
- Combines high concurrency and performance
- Synchronized collections nearly obsolete
- Use `ConcurrentHashMap`, not `Collections.synchronizedMap()`
With Concurrent Collections, You Can't Combine Operations Atomically

private static final ConcurrentHashMap<String, String> map =
    new ConcurrentHashMap<String, String>();

// Interning map atop ConcurrentHashMap -- BROKEN!
public static String intern(String s) {
    synchronized(map) { // ALWAYS wrong!
        String result = map.get(s);
        if (result == null) {
            map.put(s, s);
            result = s;
        }
        return result;
    }
}
You Could Fix it Like This...

// Interning map atop ConcurrentHashMap - works, but slow!
public static String intern(String s) {
    String previousValue = map.putIfAbsent(s, s);
    return previousValue == null ? s : previousValue;
}

Calls putIfAbsent every time it reads a value
Unfortunately, this usage is very common
But This is Much Butter

// Interning map atop ConcurrentHashMap - the right way!
public static String intern(String s) {
    String result = map.get(s);
    if (result == null) {
        result = map.putIfAbsent(s, s);
        if (result == null)
            result = s;
    }
    return result;
}

Calls putIfAbsent only if map doesn't contain entry
250% faster on my machine, and far less contention
One More “Solution” That Doesn’t Work

// Interning map atop ConcurrentHashMap - SLOW AND BROKEN
public static String intern(String s) {
    map.putIfAbsent(s, s);  // Ignores return value
    return s;  // Fails if map already contained string!
}

This bug is surprisingly common!
We found 15% of putIfAbsent uses ignore result
Summary

• Synchronized collections are largely obsolete
• Use `ConcurrentHashMap` and friends
• **Never** synchronize on a concurrent collection
• Use `putIfAbsent` (and friends) properly
  – Only call `putIfAbsent` if `get` returns null
  – And always check the return value
• API designers: make it easy to do the right thing
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Item 74: Serialization is Fraught with Peril

- Implementation details leak into public API
  - Serialized form derived from implementation
- Instances created without invoking constructor
  - Constructors may establish invariants, and instance methods maintain them, yet they can be violated
- Doesn't combine well with final fields
  - You’re forced to make them nonfinal or use reflection
- The result: increased maintenance cost, likelihood of bugs, security problems,
- There is a better way!
The Serialization Proxy Pattern

The basic idea is very simple

- Don’t serialize instances of your class; instead, serialize instances of a small, struct-like class that concisely represents it.
- Then reconstitute instances of your class at deserialization time using only its public APIs!
The Serialization Proxy Pattern

*Step-by-step* (1)

- Design a struct-like proxy class that concisely represents logical state of class to be serialized
- Declare the proxy as a static nested class
- Provide one constructor for the proxy, which takes an instance of the enclosing class
  - No need for consistency checks or defensive copies
The Serialization Proxy Pattern

*Step-by-step (2)*

- **Put** `writeReplace` method on enclosing class
  ```java
  // You can always use exactly this code
  private Object writeReplace() {
      return new SerializationProxy(this);
  }
  ```
- **Put a** `readResolve` method on the proxy
  - Use any methods in the public API of the enclosing class to reconstitute the instance
A Real-Life Example

EnumSet's Serialization Proxy

```java
private static class SerializationProxy <E extends Enum<E>>
    implements Serializable {
private final Class<E> elementType;
private final Enum[] elements;

    SerializationProxy(EnumSet<E> set) {
        elementType = set.elementType;
        elements = set.toArray(EMPTY_ENUM_ARRAY);
    }

    private Object readResolve() {
        EnumSet<E> result = EnumSet.noneOf(elementType);
        for (Enum e : elements)
            result.add((E)e);
        return result;
    }

    private static final long serialVersionUID = ... ;
}
```
Truth in Advertising

*The Serialization Proxy Pattern is not a Panacea*

- Incompatible with extendable classes
- Incompatible with some classes whose object graphs contain circularities
- Adds 15% to cost of serialization/deserialization
- But when it’s applicable, it's the easiest way to robustly serialize complex objects
Key Ideas to Take Home

• Remember the PECS mnemonic for wildcards
• When a fixed number of type parameters won’t do, use a Typesafe Heterogeneous Container
• Prefer two-element enums to booleans
• Never synchronize on a concurrent collection; use `putIfAbsent`, and check the return value
• When your plans call for serialization, remember the Serialization Proxy pattern
Shameless Commerce Division

• There’s plenty more where that came from!
Effective Java™:
Still Effective, After All These Years
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